

Note: This document has been translated from the Japanese original for reference purposes only.
In the event of any discrepancy between this translated document and the Japanese original, the original shall prevail.

[Cover]

[Document Submitted]	Extraordinary Report
[Submitted to]	Director, Kanto Local Finance Bureau
[Date of Submission]	June 24, 2024
[Company Name]	RIKEN TECHNOS CORPORATION
[Position and Name of Representative]	Kazuaki Tokiwa, Representative Director, President & CEO
[Location of Head Office]	2-101, Kanda-Awajicho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo
[Phone No.]	+81-3 - 5297 - 1650 (Tokyo) (main)
[Contact for Communications]	Junya Nakamura, General Manager, General Affairs & Legal Department
[Nearest Contact]	2-101, Kanda-Awajicho, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo
[Phone No.]	+81-3 - 5297 - 1650 (Tokyo) (main)
[Contact for Communications]	Junya Nakamura, General Manager, General Affairs & Legal Department
[Place Where Available for Public Inspection]	RIKEN TECHNOS CORPORATION Osaka Branch Office (1-5-30, Dojima, Kita-ku, Osaka-shi) Tokyo Stock Exchange, Inc. (2-1 Nihombashi Kabutocho, Chuo-ku, Tokyo)

1 [Reasons for Submission]

As the following matters were resolved at the 95th Ordinary General Meeting of Shareholders of RIKEN TECHNOS CORPORATION (the “Company”) held on June 21, 2024, the Company submits this extraordinary report in accordance with the provisions of Article 24-5, Paragraph 4 of the Financial Instruments and Exchange Act and Article 19, Paragraph 2, Item 9-2 of the Cabinet Office Order on Disclosure of Corporate Affairs.

2 [Description of Report]

(1) Date of the General Meeting of Shareholders

June 21, 2024

(2) Details of the proposals resolved

Proposal 1: Appropriation of Surplus

¥20.00 per share of common stock of the Company

Proposal 2: Election of 3 Directors (Excluding Directors serving as Audit & Supervisory Committee Members)

Mr. Kazuaki Tokiwa, Mr. Junji Irie, and Mr. Gakuyuki Kajiyama were elected as Directors (excluding Directors serving as Audit & Supervisory Committee Members)

Proposal 3: Election of 4 Directors serving as Audit & Supervisory Committee Members

Mr. Takashi Shimada, Mr. Shigeharu Nakamura, Mr. Shigeru Ehara, and Ms. Aogi Suemura were elected as Directors serving as Audit & Supervisory Committee Members.

(3) Number of voting rights exercised in favor and against the proposals resolved, number of abstaining votes, requirements for the approval of each resolution, and the results of resolutions

Proposal	Votes in favor	Votes against	Abstaining votes	Percentage of votes in favor	Result of resolution
Proposal 1	471,684	252	0	97.86 %	Approved
Proposal 2					
Kazuaki Tokiwa	452,654	19,282	0	93.91 %	Approved
Junji Irie	455,003	16,933	0	94.39 %	Approved
Gakuyuki Kajiyama	470,447	1,489	0	97.60 %	Approved
Proposal 3					
Takashi Shimada	464,979	6,956	0	96.46 %	Approved
Shigeharu Nakamura	434,957	36,978	0	90.24 %	Approved
Shigeru Ehara	435,110	36,825	0	90.27 %	Approved
Aogi Suemura	470,734	1,202	0	97.66 %	Approved

(Note) The requirements for approval of the proposals resolved are as follows:

- Proposal 1 requires approval by a majority of the voting rights of shareholders in attendance at the General Meeting of Shareholders.
- Proposal 2 and Proposal 3 require approval by a majority of the voting rights of shareholders in attendance at the General Meeting of Shareholders, which is attended by shareholders holding, in the aggregate, no less than one-third of all voting rights held by shareholders eligible to exercise voting rights.

(4) Reason why some of the voting rights of shareholders who attended the General Meeting of Shareholders were not included in the total number of votes

It could not be confirmed whether the votes cast by some shareholders who attended on the day of the General Meeting of Shareholders were votes in favor, against, or abstaining. These were not included in the total number of votes as the total number of votes cast in advance up to the day before the General Meeting of Shareholders and those cast by other shareholders in attendance on the day that could be confirmed was already sufficient to meet the requirements for approval of the proposals and the resolutions were thereby enacted lawfully under the Companies Act.